

# Free monotone transport without a trace

Brent Nelson

UCLA

November 2, 2014

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be non-commuting indeterminates and denote  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_N \rangle$ , the non-commutative polynomials in  $t_1, \dots, t_N$ .

Let  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  be non-commuting indeterminates and denote  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_N \rangle$ , the non-commutative polynomials in  $t_1, \dots, t_N$ .

### Joint laws

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  be self-adjoint elements in a von Neumann algebra with a state  $\varphi$  and denote  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ . The *joint law of  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  with respect to  $\varphi$* , denoted  $\varphi_X$ , is the linear functional on  $\mathcal{P}$  defined by

$$\varphi_X(P(t_1, \dots, t_N)) := \varphi(P(X_1, \dots, X_N)) \quad P \in \mathcal{P}$$

## Classical Transport

- Transport from  $(X, \mu)$  to  $(Z, \nu)$  is a map  $T: X \rightarrow Z$  such that  $T_*\mu = \nu$
- Induces integral-preserving embedding  $L^\infty(Z, \nu) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$  via  $f \mapsto f \circ T$

## Classical Transport

- Transport from  $(X, \mu)$  to  $(Z, \nu)$  is a map  $T: X \rightarrow Z$  such that  $T_*\mu = \nu$
- Induces integral-preserving embedding  $L^\infty(Z, \nu) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$  via  $f \mapsto f \circ T$

## Free transport

Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N) \subset M$  and  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset L$ , where  $M$  and  $L$  are von Neumann algebras with faithful states  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively. Then *transport from  $\varphi_X$  to  $\psi_Z$*  is an  $N$ -tuple  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N) \subset W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N) \subset M$  so that  $\varphi_Y = \psi_Z$ .

Note that the existence of transport implies  $Z_j \mapsto Y_j$  is a state-preserving embedding of  $W^*(Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$  into  $W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ .

## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Given  $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  a strongly continuous one-parameter group of orthogonal transformations on a real Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$
- Extend each  $U_t$  to unitary on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and let  $A > 0$  be generator:  $U_t = A^{it}$

## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Given  $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  a strongly continuous one-parameter group of orthogonal transformations on a real Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$
- Extend each  $U_t$  to unitary on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and let  $A > 0$  be generator:  $U_t = A^{it}$
- Examples:
  1.  $U_t = I_N \in M_N(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $t \Rightarrow A = I_N$
  2. For  $\lambda > 0$

$$U_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t \log \lambda) & -\sin(t \log \lambda) \\ \sin(t \log \lambda) & \cos(t \log \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \lambda^{-1} & -i(\lambda - \lambda^{-1}) \\ i(\lambda - \lambda^{-1}) & \lambda + \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Given  $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  a strongly continuous one-parameter group of orthogonal transformations on a real Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$
- Extend each  $U_t$  to unitary on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and let  $A > 0$  be generator:  $U_t = A^{it}$
- Examples:
  1.  $U_t = I_N \in M_N(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $t \Rightarrow A = I_N$
  2. For  $\lambda > 0$

$$U_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t \log \lambda) & -\sin(t \log \lambda) \\ \sin(t \log \lambda) & \cos(t \log \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \lambda^{-1} & -i(\lambda - \lambda^{-1}) \\ i(\lambda - \lambda^{-1}) & \lambda + \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Define new inner product:

$$\langle x, y \rangle_U = \left\langle \frac{2}{1 + A^{-1}} x, y \right\rangle \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to this inner product



## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$  be the full Fock space:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$$

## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$  be the full Fock space:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$$

- Define  $s(x) = \ell(x) + \ell(x)^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  where

$$\ell(x)f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = x \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \quad \ell(x)^*f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = \langle x, f_1 \rangle_U f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$$

## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$  be the full Fock space:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$$

- Define  $s(x) = \ell(x) + \ell(x)^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  where

$$\ell(x)f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = x \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \quad \ell(x)^*f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = \langle x, f_1 \rangle_U f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$$

- The *free Araki-Woods factor* is  $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' := W^*(s(x) : x \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$
- The *free quasi-free state*  $\varphi$  is the vector state on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  corresponding to  $\Omega$ , is normal and faithful on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$

## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$  be the full Fock space:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$$

- Define  $s(x) = \ell(x) + \ell(x)^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  where

$$\ell(x)f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = x \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \quad \ell(x)^*f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = \langle x, f_1 \rangle_U f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$$

- The *free Araki-Woods factor* is  $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' := W^*(s(x) : x \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$
- The *free quasi-free state*  $\varphi$  is the vector state on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  corresponding to  $\Omega$ , is normal and faithful on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$
- $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^N, I_N)'' = \text{LF}_N$

## Shlyakhtenko 1997:

- Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$  be the full Fock space:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$$

- Define  $s(x) = \ell(x) + \ell(x)^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  where

$$\ell(x)f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = x \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \quad \ell(x)^*f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = \langle x, f_1 \rangle_U f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$$

- The *free Araki-Woods factor* is  $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' := W^*(s(x) : x \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$
- The *free quasi-free state*  $\varphi$  is the vector state on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  corresponding to  $\Omega$ , is normal and faithful on  $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$
- $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^N, I_N)'' = LF_N$
- In fact, if  $G \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{\times}$  is the closed subgroup generated by the spectrum of  $A$  then

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' \text{ is a factor of type } \begin{cases} \text{III}_1 & \text{if } G = \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \\ \text{III}_{\lambda} & \text{if } G = \lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}, 0 < \lambda < 1 \\ \text{II}_1 & \text{if } G = \{1\} \end{cases}$$

We let  $M = \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)''$  and  $X_j = s(e_j)$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is the standard basis. Thus

$$M = W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N).$$

Our goal is to construct transport from  $\varphi_X$  (with  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the non-commutative polynomials in  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the non-commutative polynomials in  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ .

### Voiculescu's free difference quotients

- For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  the  $j$ th free difference quotient  $\delta_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(X)^{\text{op}}$  is defined by

$$\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k} \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}^\circ$$

$$\delta_j(PQ) = \delta_j(P) \cdot Q + P \cdot \delta_j(Q).$$

- $\delta_1(X_1 X_2 X_1 X_2) = \mathbf{1} \otimes (X_2 X_1 X_2)^\circ + X_1 X_2 \otimes X_2^\circ$



Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the non-commutative polynomials in  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ .

### Voiculescu's free difference quotients

- For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  the  $j$ th free difference quotient  $\delta_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(X)^{op}$  is defined by

$$\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k} 1 \otimes 1^\circ$$

$$\delta_j(PQ) = \delta_j(P) \cdot Q + P \cdot \delta_j(Q).$$

- $\delta_1(X_1 X_2 X_1 X_2) = 1 \otimes (X_2 X_1 X_2)^\circ + X_1 X_2 \otimes X_2^\circ$

We then define the  $j$ th  $\sigma$ -difference quotient  $\partial_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(X)^{op}$  as

$$\partial_j := \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{kj} \delta_k.$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denote the non-commutative polynomials in  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ .

### Voiculescu's free difference quotients

- For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  the  $j$ th free difference quotient  $\delta_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(X)^{op}$  is defined by

$$\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k} 1 \otimes 1^\circ$$

$$\delta_j(PQ) = \delta_j(P) \cdot Q + P \cdot \delta_j(Q).$$

- $\delta_1(X_1 X_2 X_1 X_2) = 1 \otimes (X_2 X_1 X_2)^\circ + X_1 X_2 \otimes X_2^\circ$

We then define the  $j$ th  $\sigma$ -difference quotient  $\partial_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \otimes \mathcal{P}(X)^{op}$  as

$$\partial_j := \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{kj} \delta_k.$$

### Proposition

Let  $M, X_1, \dots, X_N$ , and  $\varphi$  be as above. Then for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$\varphi(X_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j P)$$

For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  we define the  $j$ th  $\sigma$ -cyclic derivative  $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_j P = \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} \sum_{P=AX_k B} \sigma_{-i}^\varphi(B) A,$$

where we note

$$\sigma_z^\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N [A^{iz}]_{jk} X_k = [A^{iz} X]_j.$$

For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  we define the  $j$ th  $\sigma$ -cyclic derivative  $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_j P = \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} \sum_{P=AX_k B} \sigma_{-i}^\varphi(B) A,$$

where we note

$$\sigma_z^\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N [A^{iz}]_{jk} X_k = [A^{iz} X]_j.$$

## A special potential

We first note

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(X_k X_j) = \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell k} \sigma_{-i}^\varphi(X_j) + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k$$

For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  we define the  $j$ th  $\sigma$ -cyclic derivative  $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_j P = \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} \sum_{P=AX_k B} \sigma_{-i}^\varphi(B) A,$$

where we note

$$\sigma_z^\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N [A^{iz}]_{jk} X_k = [A^{iz} X]_j.$$

## A special potential

We first note

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\ell(X_k X_j) &= \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell k} \sigma_{-i}^\varphi(X_j) + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k \\ &= \left[ \frac{2}{1+A^{-1}} \right]_{\ell k} X_j + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k \end{aligned}$$

For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  we define the  $j$ th  $\sigma$ -cyclic derivative  $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_j P = \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} \sum_{P=AX_k B} \sigma_{-i}^\varphi(B) A,$$

where we note

$$\sigma_z^\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N [A^{iz}]_{jk} X_k = [A^{iz} X]_j.$$

## A special potential

We first note

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\ell(X_k X_j) &= \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell k} \sigma_{-i}^\varphi(X_j) + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k \\ &= \left[ \frac{2}{1+A^{-1}} \right]_{\ell k} X_j + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k \\ &= \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{k\ell} X_j + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k \end{aligned}$$

## A special potential

Thus

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(X_k X_j) = \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{k\ell} X_j + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k,$$

and consequently if

$$V_0 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[ \frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} X_k X_j,$$

then its easy to see that

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell V_0 = X_\ell \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N.$$

## A special potential

Thus

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(X_k X_j) = \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{k\ell} X_j + \left[ \frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{\ell j} X_k,$$

and consequently if

$$V_0 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[ \frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} X_k X_j,$$

then its easy to see that

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell V_0 = X_\ell \quad \ell = 1, \dots, N.$$

## Proposition

Let  $M$ ,  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $V_0$  be as above. Then for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$\varphi(\mathcal{D}_j(V_0)P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j P)$$



Observe that provided we fix  $A$  we can make sense of  $\partial_j$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

### Free Gibbs state

Fix  $V \in \mathcal{P}$ . A linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *free Gibbs state with potential  $V$*  if for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}_j(V)P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op}(\partial_j P).$$

Observe that provided we fix  $A$  we can make sense of  $\partial_j$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

### Free Gibbs state

Fix  $V \in \mathcal{P}$ . A linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *free Gibbs state with potential  $V$*  if for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}_j(V)P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op}(\partial_j P).$$

- $\varphi_X$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V_0$ .

Observe that provided we fix  $A$  we can make sense of  $\partial_j$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

### Free Gibbs state

Fix  $V \in \mathcal{P}$ . A linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *free Gibbs state with potential  $V$*  if for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}_j(V)P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{\circ p}(\partial_j P).$$

- $\varphi_X$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V_0$ .
- For  $R > 0$ , we consider particular Banach norms  $\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$  can be thought of as convergent power series with radius of convergence at least  $R$ .

Observe that provided we fix  $A$  we can make sense of  $\partial_j$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

### Free Gibbs state

Fix  $V \in \mathcal{P}$ . A linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *free Gibbs state with potential  $V$*  if for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}_j(V)P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op}(\partial_j P).$$

- $\varphi_X$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V_0$ .
- For  $R > 0$ , we consider particular Banach norms  $\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$  can be thought of as convergent power series with radius of convergence at least  $R$ .
- In the definition of a free Gibbs state,  $V$  can be taken from  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$ .

Observe that provided we fix  $A$  we can make sense of  $\partial_j$  and  $\mathcal{D}_j$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

### Free Gibbs state

Fix  $V \in \mathcal{P}$ . A linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is called a *free Gibbs state with potential  $V$*  if for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  and every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}_j(V)P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op}(\partial_j P).$$

- $\varphi_X$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V_0$ .
- For  $R > 0$ , we consider particular Banach norms  $\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$  can be thought of as convergent power series with radius of convergence at least  $R$ .
- In the definition of a free Gibbs state,  $V$  can be taken from  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$ .
- If  $R \geq \|X_1\|, \dots, \|X_N\|$  then  $P(X) \in M$  whenever  $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$  and  $\|\sigma_{ik}^\varphi(P(X))\|_{R,\sigma} = \|P(X)\|_{R,\sigma}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Theorem (N. 2013)

- Let  $M, X_1, \dots, X_N, \varphi$ , and  $V_0$  as above.
- Let  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$  be elements in a von Neumann algebra  $L$  with faithful state  $\psi$ .
- Assume  $\psi_Z$  is a free Gibbs state with potential  $V \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$ , with  $R \geq \|X_1\|, \dots, \|X_N\|$ .

There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N, A$ , and  $R$ ) such that if  $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} < \epsilon$  then transport from  $\varphi_X$  to  $\psi_Z$  exists.

## Theorem (N. 2013)

- Let  $M$ ,  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $V_0$  as above.
- Let  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$  be elements in a von Neumann algebra  $L$  with faithful state  $\psi$ .
- Assume  $\psi_Z$  is a free Gibbs state with potential  $V \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$ , with  $R \geq \|X_1\|, \dots, \|X_N\|$ .

There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N$ ,  $A$ , and  $R$ ) such that if  $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} < \epsilon$  then transport from  $\varphi_X$  to  $\psi_Z$  exists.

In particular, the transport elements  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N$  are convergent power series in  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ . By shrinking  $\epsilon$  if necessary, we can write  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  as power series in  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N$  and therefore  $Z_j \mapsto Y_j$  gives a state-preserving isomorphism

$$C^*(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \cong C^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$$

$$W^*(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \cong M.$$

### Theorem (Guionnet, Maurel-Segala 2006)

Let  $R > 0$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N$ ,  $A$ , and  $R$ ) so that the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$  is unique whenever  $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} < \epsilon$ .



### Theorem (Guionnet, Maurel-Segala 2006)

Let  $R > 0$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N$ ,  $A$ , and  $R$ ) so that the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$  is unique whenever  $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} < \epsilon$ .

### Proof of non-tracial transport theorem.

- Suffices to construct  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \in M$  whose joint law with respect to  $\varphi$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$



### Theorem (Guionnet, Maurel-Segala 2006)

Let  $R > 0$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N$ ,  $A$ , and  $R$ ) so that the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$  is unique whenever  $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} < \epsilon$ .

### Proof of non-tracial transport theorem.

- Suffices to construct  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \in M$  whose joint law with respect to  $\varphi$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$
- Assume each  $Y_j = X_j + \mathcal{D}_j G$  with  $G \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$  (reasonable since  $V$  is close to  $V_0$ )



## Theorem (Guionnet, Maurel-Segala 2006)

Let  $R > 0$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N$ ,  $A$ , and  $R$ ) so that the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$  is unique whenever  $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} < \epsilon$ .

### Proof of non-tracial transport theorem.

- Suffices to construct  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \in M$  whose joint law with respect to  $\varphi$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$
- Assume each  $Y_j = X_j + \mathcal{D}_j G$  with  $G \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$  (reasonable since  $V$  is close to  $V_0$ )
- Joint law of  $Y_j$ 's being free Gibbs state with potential  $V$  is equivalent to  $G$  being the fixed point of a mapping  $F_V$  on the unit ball of  $\overline{\mathcal{P}(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$



## Theorem (Guionnet, Maurel-Segala 2006)

Let  $R > 0$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N$ ,  $A$ , and  $R$ ) so that the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$  is unique whenever  $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} < \epsilon$ .

### Proof of non-tracial transport theorem.

- Suffices to construct  $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \in M$  whose joint law with respect to  $\varphi$  is the free Gibbs state with potential  $V$
- Assume each  $Y_j = X_j + \mathcal{D}_j G$  with  $G \in \overline{\mathcal{P}(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$  (reasonable since  $V$  is close to  $V_0$ )
- Joint law of  $Y_j$ 's being free Gibbs state with potential  $V$  is equivalent to  $G$  being the fixed point of a mapping  $F_V$  on the unit ball of  $\overline{\mathcal{P}(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$
- Can show  $F_V$  is locally Lipschitz



Hiai 2003:

- Given  $q \in (-1, 1)$ , the  $q$ -Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  is the completion of  $\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  with respect to

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \rangle_{U, q} = \delta_{n=m} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \prod_{k=1}^n \langle f_k, g_{\pi(k)} \rangle_U$$

Note  $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$

## Hiai 2003:

- Given  $q \in (-1, 1)$ , the  $q$ -Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  is the completion of  $\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  with respect to

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \rangle_{U,q} = \delta_{n=m} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \prod_{k=1}^n \langle f_k, g_{\pi(k)} \rangle_U$$

Note  $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$

- $\ell_q(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  is defined as before but now

$$\ell_q(x)^* f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} \langle x, f_k \rangle_U f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{f}_k \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$$

## Hiai 2003:

- Given  $q \in (-1, 1)$ , the  $q$ -Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$  is the completion of  $\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$  with respect to

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \rangle_{U, q} = \delta_{n=m} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \prod_{k=1}^n \langle f_k, g_{\pi(k)} \rangle_U$$

Note  $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$

- $l_q(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  is defined as before but now

$$l_q(x)^* f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} \langle x, f_k \rangle_U f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{f}_k \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$$

- Letting  $s_q(x) = l_q(x) + l_q(x)^*$ , the  $q$ -deformed Araki-Woods algebra is  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' = W^*(s_q(x) : x \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$
- The  $q$ -quasi-free state  $\varphi_q$  is the vector state corresponding to  $\Omega$ , is normal and faithful on  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$

## Hiai 2003:

- When  $A$  has infinitely many mutually orthogonal eigenvectors  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$  is a factor and the type classification is the same as the one obtained by Shlyakhtenko (the  $q = 0$  case)
- When  $A$  has no eigenvectors,  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$  is a non-injective type III<sub>1</sub> factor



## Hiai 2003:

- When  $A$  has infinitely many mutually orthogonal eigenvectors  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$  is a factor and the type classification is the same as the one obtained by Shlyakhtenko (the  $q = 0$  case)
- When  $A$  has no eigenvectors,  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$  is a non-injective type III<sub>1</sub> factor

In the case  $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) < \infty$ , the questions of factoriality and type classification remained open.

## Hiai 2003:

- When  $A$  has infinitely many mutually orthogonal eigenvectors  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$  is a factor and the type classification is the same as the one obtained by Shlyakhtenko (the  $q = 0$  case)
- When  $A$  has no eigenvectors,  $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$  is a non-injective type III<sub>1</sub> factor

In the case  $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}) < \infty$ , the questions of factoriality and type classification remained open.

## Theorem (N. 2013)

There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $N$  and  $A$ ) such that whenever  $|q| < \epsilon$  we have

$$\Gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)'' \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)'' \text{ and}$$

$$\Gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t),$$

and these isomorphisms are state-preserving.

## Proof.

- Fix  $q \in (-1, 1)$  and denote  $M = \Gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)''$  and  $X_j = s_q(e_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .

## Proof.

- Fix  $q \in (-1, 1)$  and denote  $M = \Gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)''$  and  $X_j = s_q(e_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .
- We show the  $q$ -quasi-free state is the free Gibbs state with a potential  $V_q$  and that  $V_q \rightarrow V_0$  as  $|q| \rightarrow 0$ .

## Proof.

- Fix  $q \in (-1, 1)$  and denote  $M = \Gamma_q(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)''$  and  $X_j = s_q(e_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .
- We show the  $q$ -quasi-free state is the free Gibbs state with a potential  $V_q$  and that  $V_q \rightarrow V_0$  as  $|q| \rightarrow 0$ .
- If we can find for each  $j = 1, \dots, N$  a  $\xi_j^{(q)} \in M$  satisfying

$$\varphi_q(\xi_j^{(q)} P) = \varphi_q \otimes \varphi_q^{op}(\partial_j P)$$

for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , then  $\varphi_q$  is the free Gibbs state with potential

$$V_q = \Sigma \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[ \frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} \xi_k^{(q)} X_j \right),$$

and

$$\|V_q - V_0\|_{R,\sigma} \leq C(N, A, R) \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \|\xi_k^{(q)} - X_k\|_R$$

## Proof.

- Recall that  $\xi_j^{(0)} = X_j$

## Proof.

- Recall that  $\xi_j^{(0)} = X_j$
- However, for  $q \neq 0$  we have for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$\varphi_q(X_j P) = \varphi_q \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P) \Xi_q),$$

where  $\Xi_q \in L^2(M \otimes M^{op}, \varphi_q \otimes \varphi_q^{op})$  is the element identified with  $\sum_{n \geq 0} q^n P_n \in HS(F_q(\mathcal{H}))$  via the identification

$$a \otimes b^\circ \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_{U,q} a\Omega$$

## Proof.

- Recall that  $\xi_j^{(0)} = X_j$
- However, for  $q \neq 0$  we have for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$

$$\varphi_q(X_j P) = \varphi_q \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P)\Xi_q),$$

where  $\Xi_q \in L^2(M \otimes M^{op}, \varphi_q \otimes \varphi_q^{op})$  is the element identified with  $\sum_{n \geq 0} q^n P_n \in HS(F_q(\mathcal{H}))$  via the identification

$$a \otimes b^\circ \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_{U,q} a\Omega$$

- If we define  $\partial_j^{(q)}(P) := \partial_j(P)\Xi_q$ , then our desired elements are

$$\xi_j^{(q)} = \partial_j^{(q)*} \circ (\sigma_{-i}^{\varphi_q} \otimes \sigma_i^{\varphi_q}) \left( [\Xi_q^{-1}]^* \right),$$

estimates similar to those of Dabrowski imply these are well-defined



## Proof.

- Adapting a well known identity of Voiculescu to the non-tracial case yields

$$\xi_j^{(q)} = (\sigma_{-i}^{\varphi_q} \otimes 1) \left( [\Xi_q^{-1}]^* \right) \# X_j \\ - m \circ (1 \otimes \varphi_q \otimes 1) \circ \left( 1 \otimes \partial_j^{(q)} + \bar{\partial}_j^{(q)} \otimes 1 \right) \circ (\sigma_{-i}^{\varphi_q} \otimes 1) \left( [\Xi_q^{-1}]^* \right),$$

where  $(a \otimes b^\circ) \# c = acb$



## Proof.

- Adapting a well known identity of Voiculescu to the non-tracial case yields

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j^{(q)} = & (\sigma_{-i}^{\varphi_q} \otimes 1) \left( [\Xi_q^{-1}]^* \right) \# X_j \\ & - m \circ (1 \otimes \varphi_q \otimes 1) \circ \left( 1 \otimes \partial_j^{(q)} + \bar{\partial}_j^{(q)} \otimes 1 \right) \circ (\sigma_{-i}^{\varphi_q} \otimes 1) \left( [\Xi_q^{-1}]^* \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(a \otimes b^\circ) \# c = acb$

- Using methods of Dabrowski one can show

$$(\sigma_{-i}^{\varphi_q} \otimes 1) \left( [\Xi_q^{-1}]^* \right) = 1 \otimes 1^\circ + o(|q|)$$

- Hence

$$\xi_j^{(q)} = X_j + o(|q|)$$



## Guionnet, Jones, and Shlyakhtenko 2010:

- Give an alternate proof to a result of Popa (1995) that every subfactor planar algebra can indeed be realized as the standard invariant of a  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor

## Guionnet, Jones, and Shlyakhtenko 2010:

- Give an alternate proof to a result of Popa (1995) that every subfactor planar algebra can indeed be realized as the standard invariant of a  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor
- Given a subfactor planar algebra  $\mathcal{P} = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , create a series of algebras with traces  $(Gr_k \mathcal{P}, \wedge_k, Tr_k)_{k \geq 0}$  where the multiplication  $\wedge_k$  and trace  $Tr_k$  are encoded diagrammatically via a planar tangles

## Guionnet, Jones, and Shlyakhtenko 2010:

- Give an alternate proof to a result of Popa (1995) that every subfactor planar algebra can indeed be realized as the standard invariant of a  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor
- Given a subfactor planar algebra  $\mathcal{P} = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , create a series of algebras with traces  $(Gr_k \mathcal{P}, \wedge_k, Tr_k)_{k \geq 0}$  where the multiplication  $\wedge_k$  and trace  $Tr_k$  are encoded diagrammatically via a planar tangles
- Then there is a series of trace-preserving embeddings  $Gr_k \mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  which generate a tower of von Neumann algebras  $(M_k)_{k \geq 0}$  whose inclusions recover  $\mathcal{P}$  as its standard invariant

## Guionnet, Jones, and Shlyakhtenko 2010:

- Give an alternate proof to a result of Popa (1995) that every subfactor planar algebra can indeed be realized as the standard invariant of a  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor
- Given a subfactor planar algebra  $\mathcal{P} = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , create a series of algebras with traces  $(Gr_k \mathcal{P}, \wedge_k, Tr_k)_{k \geq 0}$  where the multiplication  $\wedge_k$  and trace  $Tr_k$  are encoded diagrammatically via a planar tangles
- Then there is a series of trace-preserving embeddings  $Gr_k \mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  which generate a tower of von Neumann algebras  $(M_k)_{k \geq 0}$  whose inclusions recover  $\mathcal{P}$  as its standard invariant
- $M_0$  lies in the centralizer of a  $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)''$  with respect to the free quasi-free state for some  $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$

## Guionnet, Jones, and Shlyakhtenko 2010:

- Give an alternate proof to a result of Popa (1995) that every subfactor planar algebra can indeed be realized as the standard invariant of a  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor
- Given a subfactor planar algebra  $\mathcal{P} = (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , create a series of algebras with traces  $(Gr_k \mathcal{P}, \wedge_k, Tr_k)_{k \geq 0}$  where the multiplication  $\wedge_k$  and trace  $Tr_k$  are encoded diagrammatically via a planar tangles
- Then there is a series of trace-preserving embeddings  $Gr_k \mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}))$  which generate a tower of von Neumann algebras  $(M_k)_{k \geq 0}$  whose inclusions recover  $\mathcal{P}$  as its standard invariant
- $M_0$  lies in the centralizer of a  $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^N, U_t)''$  with respect to the free quasi-free state for some  $\{U_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$

## Theorem 3.1 (N. 2014)

*Using non-tracial transport it is possible to perturb the above embeddings without altering the tower of non-commutative probability spaces  $(M_k)_{k \geq 0}$ . Furthermore, given another state  $\tau_k$  on  $Gr_k \mathcal{P}$  one obtains criterion for when the von Neumann algebra associated to the GNS representation of  $\tau_k$  is isomorphic to  $M_k$ .*