

# Duality for $C^*$ -algebras and applications

Heath Emerson

University of Victoria

November 2014

# Poincaré duality for $C^*$ -algebras

## Definition

Two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are *Poincaré dual* if there exist classes

$$\Delta \in \text{KK}(A \otimes B, \mathbb{C}) \text{ (the 'unit'), } \hat{\Delta} \in \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, A \otimes B) \text{ ('co-unit')}$$

such that the map

$$\text{KK}(D_1, B \otimes D_2) \rightarrow \text{KK}(A \otimes D_1, A \otimes B \otimes D_2) \xrightarrow{\cdot \otimes_{A \otimes B} \Delta} \text{KK}(A \otimes D_1, D_2),$$

is an isomorphism for all  $D_1, D_2$ .

## Remark

It is enough to check that there is some class  $\hat{\Delta} \in \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, B \otimes A)$  which maps to  $1_A$ , in the case  $D_1 = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $D_2 = A$ , and so that the map  $\text{KK}(A \otimes B, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{KK}(B, B)$  defined analogously using  $\hat{\Delta}$ , maps  $\Delta$  to  $1_B$ . (The zig-zag equations).

# Self-duality for $K$ -oriented manifolds

## Example

If  $X$  is a compact,  $K$ -oriented manifold, there is a distinguished elliptic operator on  $X$  called the *Dirac operator*. It determines a class  $[D] \in \text{KK}(C(X), \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$  be the diagonal map. Set  $\Delta := \delta_*([D]) \in \text{KK}(C(X) \otimes C(X), \mathbb{C})$ . For  $\widehat{\Delta}$ , let

- $\nu$  be the normal bundle to the embedding  $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$
- $\xi_\nu$  be the Thom class in  $\text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\nu))$  of the vector bundle  $\nu$  over  $X$
- $\widehat{\Delta} \in \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C(X \times X))$  be the image of  $\xi_\nu$  under the map  $\text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\nu)) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C(X \times X))$  induced from tubular neighbourhood embedding of  $\nu$  in  $X \times X$ .

Then  $\Delta$  and  $\widehat{\Delta}$  induce a Poincaré duality between  $C(X)$  and itself.

## Remark

$\Delta \cap [E]$  is the class of the Dirac operator ‘twisted’ by  $E$ .

# The Fock space extension of a Cuntz-Krieger algebra

Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -by- $n$  symmetric matrix of 0's and 1's, the adjacency matrix of a graph.

$O_A$  the Cuntz-Krieger algebra, generated by partial isometries  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  with  $s_i^* s_i = \sum_j A_{ij} s_j s_j^*$ .

$F_A$  the 'Fock space' Hilbert space  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_A^n$  where  $F_A^n$  is the span of the elementary tensors  $\xi_{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{r_n}$  where  $A_{r_k, r_{k+1}} = 1$ . Then for each  $i, j$  the left and right 'creation operators'

$$S_i(\xi_{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{r_n}) := \xi_i \otimes \xi_{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{r_n}$$

,

$$R_j(\xi_{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{r_n}) := \xi_{r_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{r_n} \otimes \xi_j,$$

commute with each other mod compacts and the  $S_i$ 's and  $R_j$ 's each respectively satisfy the Cuntz-Krieger relations mod compacts.

# Duality of Kaminker and Putnam

Using the Fock space construction we obtain an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(F_A) \rightarrow C^*({S_i, R_j}_{i,j}) \rightarrow O_A \otimes O_A \rightarrow 0. \quad (0.1)$$

of  $O_A \otimes O_A$  by the compact operators.

## Theorem (Kaminker, Putnam)

*The class  $\Delta \in \text{KK}^1(O_A \otimes O_A, \mathbb{C})$  of the Fock Space extension (0.1) induces a self-duality of  $O_A$ .*

## Remark

The world of *spaces* contains very few self-dual examples which are not manifolds!

If  $G$  is a Gromov hyperbolic group, it has a boundary  $\partial G$  which carries a continuous action of  $G$ .

## Theorem (E)

*The crossed-product  $C(\partial G) \rtimes G$  is self-dual for any hyperbolic group  $G$ .*

The duality class  $\Delta \in \text{KK}^1(C(\partial G) \rtimes G \otimes C(\partial G) \rtimes G, \mathbb{C})$  is built by constructing a certain extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(l^2 G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow C(\partial G) \rtimes G \otimes C(\partial G) \rtimes G$$

that only depends on the fact that  $\partial G$  is part of a compactification  $G \subset \overline{G}$  of  $G$  in the topological sense, and the geometric property that if  $g_i \rightarrow \xi$  is a sequence of group elements converging to a boundary point  $\xi \in \partial G$ , then for any  $g \in G$ ,  $g_i g \rightarrow \xi$  as well.

# What Poincaré duality is good for

To describe the  $K$ -homology of a  $C^*$ -algebra in some geometric fashion.

## Example

Poincaré self-duality for  $K$ -oriented manifolds implies that every  $K$ -homology class for  $C(X)$  is represented by a first order elliptic operator on  $X$  – and hence a  $d := \dim(X)$ -dimensional spectral triple  $(H, \pi, D)$  over  $C^\infty(X)$  in the sense that the principal values of  $D$  grow like  $n^{\frac{1}{d}}$  – important for noncommutative geometry.

This is because Poincaré duality for manifolds is geometrically *computable*, and because of the nice form of the fundamental class, *i.e.* the Dirac operator.

## Theorem (E, Nica)

*If  $G$  is a Gromov hyperbolic group,  $d_\epsilon$  a visibility metric on the boundary, then every K-homology class for  $C(\partial G) \rtimes G$  is represented by a  $p$ -summable Fredholm module over  $\text{Lip}(\partial G, d_\epsilon) \rtimes_{\text{alg}} G$  for  $p > \text{hdim}(\partial G, d_\epsilon)$ .*

This follows from some ergodic properties of the boundary extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(l^2 G) \cong C_0(G) \rtimes G \rightarrow C(\overline{G}) \rtimes G \rightarrow C(\partial G) \rtimes G \rightarrow 0,$$

and Poincaré duality. The theorem follows from a description of the K-homology entirely in terms of a kind of pseudodifferential calculus for hyperbolic groups.



# Other examples

- Any compact manifold  $X$  is dual to  $TX$ .
- The irrational rotation algebra  $A_\theta$  is self-dual.
- $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ ,  $X$  smooth  $\mathbb{K}$ -oriented manifold,  $G$  discrete acting smoothly and properly, is self-dual.
- For a torsion-free  $\mathbb{K}$ -amenable group, Baum-Connes says that  $C_r^*G$  is dual to  $C(BG)$ .
- Certain quantum groups...

# The formal Lefschetz trace theorem

Another thing duality is good for rests on the following general calculation using the Künneth and Universal Coefficient theorems.

## Theorem

*If  $A$  and  $B$  are Poincaré dual with unit  $\Delta \in \text{KK}(A \otimes B, \mathbb{C})$  and co-unit  $\widehat{\Delta} \in \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, A \otimes B)$ , then  $K_*(A)$  (and  $K_*(B)$ ) have finite rank and if  $f \in \text{KK}(A, A)$ , then*

$$(f \otimes 1_B)_*(\widehat{\Delta}) \otimes_{A \otimes B} \Delta = \text{Tr}_s(f_*)$$

*where  $\text{Tr}_s$  is the graded trace of  $f$  acting on  $K_*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .*

If one twists the class  $\widehat{\Delta} \in \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, A \otimes B)$  by  $f$ , pairs this with  $\Delta \in \text{KK}(A \otimes B, \mathbb{C})$ , one gets the Lefschetz number of  $f$ .

# The proof

The proof is essentially an exercise in the Künneth and UC Theorems. Duality gives a non degenerate bilinear form

$$K_*(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K_*(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

and so if  $(x_i)$  is a basis for  $K_*(A)$  there is a corresponding dual basis  $(y_i)$  for the  $K$ -theory of  $B$ .

Now verify that

$$\widehat{\Delta} = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i$$

because of duality, and compute.

## Example

The integer  $\widehat{\Delta} \otimes_{A \otimes B} \Delta$  obtained by pairing  $\widehat{\Delta}$  and  $\Delta$ , is the 'Euler characteristic'  $\text{rank}(K_0(A)) - \text{rank}(K_1(A))$ . (The case  $f = 1_A \in KK(A, A)$ .)

# Deducing the Lefschetz fixed-point formula

Idea: whereas  $\text{tr}_s(f_*)$  is a global homological invariant of  $f$ ,  $(f \otimes 1_B)_*(\widehat{\Delta}) \otimes_{A \otimes B} \Delta$  is a Kasparov product that, when all the data is given geometrically, can be computed geometrically.

**Exercise** If  $X$  is a smooth  $K$ -oriented manifold and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a smooth map whose graph  $X \rightarrow X \times X$  is transverse to the diagonal,  $\Delta$  and  $\widehat{\Delta}$  the duality classes explained above, then the integer  $([f^*] \otimes 1_{C(X)})_*(\widehat{\Delta}) \otimes_{C(X \times X)} \Delta$  is the algebraic fixed-point set

$$\sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f)} \det(1 - D_x f) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

of  $f$ . (This is because both duality classes are supported near the diagonal in  $X \times X$ .)

From the **Exercises** and the formal Lefschetz Theorem we deduce the traditional Lefschetz fixed-point theorem

$$\text{tr}_s(f^*) = \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f)} \det(1 - D_x f) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Are there noncommutative analogues of the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem deducible from the general formal Lefschetz theorem

$$(f \otimes 1_B)_*(\widehat{\Delta}) \otimes_{A \otimes B} \Delta = \text{tr}_s(f_*)?$$

# Example – a Lefschetz fixed-point theorem for orbifolds

Let  $G$  be a discrete group acting

- Properly
- Isometrically
- Co-compactly

on a smooth Riemannian manifold  $X$ .

## Example

- The group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acting on the circle by complex conjugation.
- The infinite dihedral group  $G$ , generated by  $x \mapsto x + 1$ ,  $x \mapsto -x$ , acting on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

A duality between  $C_0(X) \rtimes G$  and  $C_0(TX) \rtimes G$  was constructed by [Echterhoff, E, Kim] using differential topology.

(Smooth) automorphisms of  $C_0(X) \rtimes G$ : covariant pairs  $(\phi, \zeta)$ ,  $\phi: X \rightarrow X$  diffeomorphism,  $\zeta \in \text{Aut}(G)$  a group automorphism, such that  $\phi(\zeta(g)x) = g\phi(x) \forall x \in X$ .

The transversality assumption: If  $x \in X$ ,  $g \in G$  such that  $\phi(gx) = x$ , then the map

$$\text{Id} - d(\phi \circ g)(x): T_x X \rightarrow T_x X \quad (0.2)$$

is non-singular.

This implies that the fixed-point set of the induced map on the space  $G \backslash X$  of orbits is finite.

# A Lefschetz fixed-point theorem for orbifolds

## Theorem

(Echterhoff-Emerson-Kim) Choose a point  $p$  from each fixed orbit of the induced map  $\dot{\phi}: G \backslash X \rightarrow G \backslash X$ .

For each  $p$ , let  $L_p := \{g \in G \mid \phi(gp) = p\}$  (it is finite); then the isotropy subgroup  $\text{Stab}_G(p)$  acts on  $L_p$  by twisted conjugation  $h \cdot g := \zeta(h)gh^{-1}$ . Let the orbits of this action be represented by elements  $g_1, \dots, g_m$ . For each  $i$ , let  $H_{p,i} \subset \text{Stab}_G(p)$  be the stabilizer of  $g_i$  under this action –  $H_{p,i}$  commutes with  $\phi \circ g_i$ . Then

$$\text{tr}_s((\phi, \zeta)_*) = \sum_{p \in \text{Fix}(\dot{\phi})} \sum_i \frac{1}{|H_{p,i}|} \sum_{h \in H_{p,i}} \text{sign det}(\text{id} - D_{p_i}(\phi \circ g_i)|_{\text{Fix}(h)})$$



# The case of a point

If  $X$  is a point and  $G$  is a finite group,  $\zeta \in \text{Aut}(H)$ , the theorem gives the following. Say that two elements  $g_1, g_2$  of  $G$  are *twisted conjugate* if  $g_1 = \zeta(h)g_2h^{-1}$  for some  $h \in G$ .

The automorphism  $\zeta$  induces a map on the representation ring  $\text{Rep}(G)$  of  $G$  – a free abelian group.

## Theorem

*The trace of  $\zeta_* : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$  equals the number of  $\zeta$ -twisted conjugacy classes in  $G$ .*

# Can the Lefschetz theorem be made equivariant?

Let  $G$  be a compact group,  $A$  and  $B$   $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras which are  $G$ -equivariantly dual with unit and co-units  $\Delta$  and  $\widehat{\Delta}$ . For example  $A$  and  $B$  could be  $C(X)$  for a compact, smooth  $G$ -equivariantly  $K$ -oriented manifold, by results of Kasparov.

As before we consider the invariant

$$(f \otimes 1_B)_*(\widehat{\Delta}) \otimes_{A \otimes B} \Delta \in \mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}).$$

We call it the *geometric trace* of  $f$ . The ring  $\mathrm{KK}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$  is canonically isomorphic to the representation ring  $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$  of  $G$ .

## Example

If  $G = \mathbb{T}$  then  $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$  is the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]$  of integer-coefficient Laurent polynomials in one variable. The  $G$ -equivariant  $K$ -theory of any  $A$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]$ .

## Problem

Can the geometric trace be expressed in purely homological terms in the equivariant situation, e.g. in terms of  $\text{Rep}(G)$ -module traces?

# Various problems

- Since not all  $\text{Rep}(G)$ -modules are free, or even projective, there is no well-defined notion of 'trace' (nor even of 'rank').
- The UCT and Künneth Theorem do not hold equivariantly.
- (Worse) There is finite group  $G$  and two elements  $f$  with different geometric traces but which induce the *same map* on equivariant  $K$ -theory!

# Hodgkin groups

## Definition

A *Hodgkin group* is a compact group which is connected with torsion-free fundamental group.

## Lemma

If  $G$  is a Hodgkin group then  $\text{Rep}(G)$  is an integral domain.

In this case  $\text{Rep}(G)$  embeds in its field of fractions  $F_G$  and any  $\text{Rep}(G)$ -module (i.e.  $\text{KK}^G(A, B)$  for any  $A, B$ ), can be made into an  $F_G$ -vector space by replacing it by

$$\text{KK}^G(A, B) \otimes_{\text{Rep}(G)} F_G.$$

If  $f \in \text{KK}^G(A, A)$  then  $f$  induces a canonical vector space map on  $\text{K}_*^G(A) \otimes_{\text{Rep}(G)} F_G$  and we can define  $\text{tr}_s(f_*)$  to be the graded trace of  $f$  acting on  $\text{K}_*^G(A) \otimes_{\text{Rep}(G)} F_G$ . *A priori* it lies in  $F_G$ .

# The formal equivariant Lefschetz formula for Hodgkin groups

## Theorem

*(Emerson, Meyer, Dell'Ambrogio) If  $G$  is a Hodgkin group and  $A$  a dualizable object of  $\mathrm{KK}^G$ , then the homological trace  $\mathrm{tr}_s(f_*)$  of  $f$  defined above actually lies in the image of  $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \rightarrow F_G$  and agrees with the geometric trace  $(f \otimes 1_B)_*(\widehat{\Delta}) \otimes_{A \otimes B} \Delta$  for any duality between  $A$  and  $B$ .*

## Theorem

Let  $X$  be a smooth compact  $G$ -manifold,  $\Lambda \in \text{KK}^G(C(X), C(X))$  the class of a smooth  $G$ -equivariant correspondence

$X \xleftarrow{b} (M, \xi) \xrightarrow{f} X$  from  $X$  to  $X$ . Assume that the map  $(b, f): M \rightarrow X \times X$  is transverse to the diagonal  $X \rightarrow X \times X$ . Then the intersection space

$$Q_{b,f} := \{m \in M \mid b(m) = f(m)\}$$

admits a canonical  $G$ -invariant smooth structure and equivariant  $K$ -orientation, and the graded trace  $\text{tr}_s(\Lambda_*)$  of  $\Lambda$  acting on  $\text{K}_G^*(X)$  is equal to the Atiyah-Singer  $G$ -index of the Dirac operator on  $Q_{b,f}$  twisted by  $\xi$ .

## Example - the case of (equivariant) maps

A smooth  $G$ -equivariant map  $b: X \rightarrow X$  is encoded by the correspondence

$$X \xleftarrow{b} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X$$

and the transversality assumption that  $(b, \text{id})$  is transverse to the diagonal is the traditional general position assumption of the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem. Moreover,

$$Q_{b, \text{id}} = \{x \in X \mid b(x) = x\}$$

is the fixed-point set of  $b$ , with a suitable  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{K}$ -orientation – *i.e.* a suitable  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complex line bundle  $L$  on  $Q$  (next slide).



# The equivariant $K$ -orientation on $Q_{\text{id},b}$

(continuing the case of maps...)

$Q_{\text{id},b}$  is a finite,  $G$ -invariant set of points of  $X$ .

Choose  $q \in Q_{\text{id},b}$ , let  $H := \text{Stab}_G(q)$ . The function

$$\chi_q: H \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}, \quad \chi_q(h) := \text{sign det}(\text{id} - D_q b|_{\text{Fixed}(h)})$$

is  $\pm$  a character of  $H$ , corresponding to  $\pm$  a one-dimensional representation  $V_q$  of  $H$ , and

$$L|_{Gq} = \text{ind}_H^G(V_q) := G \times_H V_q \in \text{Rep}(G)$$

describes the  $K$ -orientation  $L$  along the orbit  $Gq$ .

# An example – Euler characteristics

**Fact** For any smooth  $G$ -manifold  $X$ , the  $G$ -equivariant correspondence

$$X \xleftarrow{\text{id}} (X, \xi) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X$$

from  $X$  to  $X$  acts on  $K_G^*(X)$  by the map  $\lambda_\xi$  of *ring multiplication by  $\xi$*  (thus using the ring structure on  $K_G^*(X)$ .) The Equivariant Lefschetz Theorem (and some more work) describes the graded module trace of this map geometrically. We state the result only in a special case.

## Theorem

Assume the compact group  $\mathbb{T}$  acts smoothly on  $X$  with a finite set of stationary points in  $X$ . Then  $\forall \xi \in K_{\mathbb{T}}^0(X)$ ,

$$\text{tr}_s(\lambda_\xi) = \sum_P \xi|_P \in \text{Rep}(\mathbb{T}).$$

# An example

Let  $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  with the  $\mathbb{T}$ -action induced by the embedding  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ ,  $z \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z} \end{bmatrix}$ . There are two stationary points, with homogeneous coordinates  $[1, 0]$  and  $[0, 1]$  respectively. Let  $H$  be the dual of the canonical line bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  with its natural  $\mathbb{T}$ -action. Restricting  $H$  to the stationary points  $[1, 0]$  and  $[0, 1]$  yields respectively the characters  $X$  and  $X^{-1}$ , whence by the Lefschetz theorem

$$\mathrm{tr}_s(\Lambda_{[H]}) = X + X^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}].$$

To show this directly requires computing  $K_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$  as a ring and as a  $\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]$ -module: it is a free  $\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]$ -module supported in dimension zero, with basis  $1, [H]$ . One can check that  $[H]^2 = (X + X^{-1})[H] + 1$ , so that the matrix of ring multiplication by  $[H]$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & X + X^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$  (the trace is as claimed).