

Automorphism groups of real Cayley-Dickson loops

Jenya Kirshtein *

Abstract

The Cayley-Dickson loop \mathcal{C}_n is the multiplicative closure of basic elements of the algebra constructed by n applications of the Cayley-Dickson doubling process (the first few examples of such algebras are real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions, octonions, sedenions). We will discuss properties of the Cayley-Dickson loops, show that these loops are Hamiltonian and describe the structure of their automorphism groups.

1 The Cayley-Dickson doubling process

The Cayley-Dickson doubling produces a sequence of power-associative algebras over a field. The dimension of the algebra doubles at each step of the construction. We consider the construction on \mathbb{R} , the field of real numbers.

Let $\mathbb{A}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ with conjugation $a^* = a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbb{A}_{n+1} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{A}_n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where multiplication, addition and conjugation are defined as follows:

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac - d^*b, da + bc^*), \quad (1)$$

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d), \quad (2)$$

$$(a, b)^* = (a^*, -b). \quad (3)$$

Conjugation defines a norm $\|a\| = (aa^*)^{1/2}$ and the multiplicative inverse for nonzero elements $a^{-1} = a^*/\|a\|^2$. Notice that $(a, b)(a, b)^* = (\|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2, 0)$ and $(a^*)^* = a$. Dimension of \mathbb{A}_n over \mathbb{R} is 2^n .

Definition 1. *A nontrivial algebra A over a field is a division algebra if for any nonzero $a \in A$ and any $b \in A$ there is a unique $x \in A$ such that $ax = b$ and a unique $y \in A$ such that $ya = b$.*

Definition 2. *A normed division algebra A is a division algebra over the real or complex numbers which is a normed vector space, with norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying $\|xy\| = \|x\|\|y\|$ for all $x, y \in A$.*

Theorem 3. (*Hurwitz, 1898 [5]*) *The only normed division algebras over \mathbb{R} are $\mathbb{A}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ (real numbers), $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}$ (complex numbers), $\mathbb{A}_2 = \mathbb{H}$ (quaternions) and $\mathbb{A}_3 = \mathbb{O}$ (octonions).*

2 Cayley-Dickson loops and their properties

We will consider multiplicative structures that arise from the Cayley-Dickson doubling process.

Definition 4. *A loop is a nonempty set L with binary operation \cdot such that*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Denver, Denver, CO 80208, USA

1. there is a neutral element $id \in L$ such that $id \cdot x = x \cdot id = x$ for all $x \in L$,
2. for all $x, z \in L$ there is a unique y such that $x \cdot y = z$,
3. for all $y, z \in L$ there is a unique x such that $x \cdot y = z$.

Define Cayley-Dickson loops (\mathcal{C}_n, \cdot) inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_0 &= \{\pm(1)\}, \mathcal{C}_1 = \{\pm(1, 0), \pm(1, 1)\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_n &= \{\pm(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0), \pm(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 1) \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

In a compact form,

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\pm(1)\}, \quad \mathcal{C}_n = \{\pm(x, 0), \pm(x, 1) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4)$$

Using this approach, multiplication (1) becomes

$$(x, 0)(y, 0) = (xy, 0), \quad (5)$$

$$(x, 0)(y, 1) = (yx, 1), \quad (6)$$

$$(x, 1)(y, 0) = (xy^*, 1), \quad (7)$$

$$(x, 1)(y, 1) = (-y^*x, 0). \quad (8)$$

Conjugation (3) modifies to

$$(x, 0)^* = (x^*, 0), \quad (9)$$

$$(x, 1)^* = (-x, 1). \quad (10)$$

All elements of \mathcal{C}_n have norm one due to the fact that

$$\|(x, x_{n+1})\| = \|x\| = \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| = \dots = \|x_1\| = 1, \quad (11)$$

however, not all the elements of \mathbb{A}_n of norm one are in \mathcal{C}_n . We will write \mathcal{C}_n instead of (\mathcal{C}_n, \cdot) further in the text. The Cayley-Dickson loop is the multiplicative closure of basic elements of the corresponding Cayley-Dickson algebra. Denote a basic element i_k of \mathcal{C}_n to be the element in which, reading from right to left, the n -digit binary representation of k , $k \in \{0, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$, is followed by 1; replace i_0 with 1. The first few examples of the Cayley-Dickson loops are the real group \mathbb{R}_2 (abelian); the complex group \mathbb{C}_4 (abelian); the quaternion group \mathbb{H}_8 (not abelian); the octonion loop \mathbb{O}_{16} (Moufang); the sedenion loop \mathbb{S}_{32} (not Moufang); the loop \mathbb{T}_{64} . For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathbb{H}_8 &= \{(1, 0, 0), -(1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), -(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), -(1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1), -(1, 1, 1)\} \\ &= \{1, -1, i_1, -i_1, i_2, -i_2, i_3, -i_3\}.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we show some properties of the Cayley-Dickson loops.

Theorem 5. ([3]) *Any pair of elements of a Cayley-Dickson loop generates a subgroup of the quaternion group. In particular, a pair x, y generates a real group when $x = \pm 1$ and $y = \pm 1$; a complex group when either $x = \pm 1$, or $y = \pm 1$ (but not both), or $x = \pm y \neq \pm 1$; a quaternion group otherwise.*

We denote a loop generated by elements x_1, \dots, x_n of a loop L by $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 6. A loop L is diassociative if every pair of elements of L generates a group in L .

Corollary 7. Cayley-Dickson loop is di-associative.

Proof. The quaternion group \mathbb{H}_8 is associative and the proof follows from Theorem 5. \square

Definition 8. Commutant of a loop L , denoted by $C(L)$, is the set of elements that commute with every element of L . More precisely, $C(L) = \{a \mid ax = xa, \forall x \in L\}$.

Definition 9. Nucleus of a loop L , denoted by $N(L)$, is the set of elements that associate with all elements of L . More precisely, $N(L) = \{a \mid a \cdot xy = ax \cdot y, xa \cdot y = x \cdot ay, xy \cdot a = x \cdot ya, \forall x, y \in L\}$.

Definition 10. Center of a loop L , denoted by $Z(L)$, is the set of elements that commute and associate with every element of L . More precisely, $Z(L) = C(L) \cap N(L)$.

Definition 11. Let S be a subloop of a loop L . Then S is called a normal subloop if for all $x, y \in L$

$$\begin{aligned} xS &= Sx, \\ (xS)y &= x(Sy), \\ x(yS) &= (xy)S. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 12. Associator subloop of a loop L , denoted by $A(L)$, is the smallest normal subloop of L such that $L/A(L)$ is a group.

Definition 13. Derived subloop of a loop L , denoted by L' , is the smallest normal subloop of L such that L/L' is an abelian group.

Lemma 14. Let S be a subloop of \mathcal{C}_n .

1. Center of S , $Z(S) = \{1, -1\}$ when $|S| > 4$ and $Z(S) = S$ otherwise.
2. Associator subloop of S , $A(S) = Z(S)$ when $|S| > 8$ and $A(S) = 1$ otherwise.
3. Derived subloop of S , $S' = Z(S)$ when $|S| > 4$ and $S' = 1$ otherwise.

Proof. 1. Let S be a subloop of \mathcal{C}_n . By Theorem 5, $S \leq \mathbb{C}_4$ when $|S| \leq 4$; \mathbb{C}_4 is an abelian group, hence $Z(S) = S$. Let $|S| > 4$. By Theorem 5, $\langle 1, x \rangle \leq \mathbb{C}_4$ and $\langle -1, x \rangle \leq \mathbb{C}_4$, \mathbb{C}_4 is abelian and therefore $\{1, -1\} \in C(S)$. Let $x \in S \setminus \{\pm 1\}$, choose an element $y \notin \{\pm 1, \pm x\}$. Then $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$ by Theorem 5, and $[x, y] = -1$. It follows that $C(S) = \{1, -1\}$. Also, $\{1, -1\} \in N(S)$ since $\langle 1, x, y \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$ and $\langle -1, x, y \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$, therefore $[1, x, y] = 1$ and $[-1, x, y] = 1$ for any $x, y \in S$. It follows that $Z(S) = \{1, -1\}$.

2. Let $|S| > 8$. A group $S/Z(S)$ is abelian, hence $A(S) \leq Z(S)$. Also, $A(S) \neq 1$ since S is not a group, so $A(S) = Z(S)$. Let $|S| \leq 8$, then $S \leq \mathbb{H}_8$ and \mathbb{H}_8 is a group, so $A(S) = 1$.
3. Let $|S| > 4$. A group $S/Z(S)$ is abelian, hence $S' \leq Z(S)$. Also, $S' \neq 1$ since S is not an abelian group, so $S' = Z(S)$. Let $|S| \leq 4$, then $S \leq \mathbb{C}_4$ and \mathbb{C}_4 is an abelian group, so $S' = 1$. \square

Proposition 15. Let \mathcal{C}_n be a Cayley-Dickson loop.

1. Conjugates of the elements of \mathcal{C}_n are $x^* = -x$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{1, -1\}$, $1^* = 1$, $(-1)^* = -1$.
2. Orders of the elements of \mathcal{C}_n are $|x| = 4$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{1, -1\}$, $|1| = 1$, $|-1| = 2$.
3. Inverses of the elements of \mathcal{C}_n are $x^{-1} = x^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$.
4. Size of \mathcal{C}_n is 2^{n+1} .
5. For $k \leq n$, \mathcal{C}_k embeds into \mathcal{C}_n , $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. 1. By induction on n . In \mathbb{R}_2 , $1 \cdot 1 = -1 \cdot (-1) = 1$. Suppose $x^* = -x$ holds for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{\pm 1\}$, then in \mathcal{C}_{n+1} by definition $(x, 0)^* = (x^*, 0) = (-x, 0) = -(x, 0)$ and $(x, 1)^* = (-x, 1) = -(x, 1)$.

2. By induction on n . In \mathbb{C}_4 , $(1, 0)(1, 0) = (1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)(1, 1) = -(1, 0)$. Suppose $x^2 = -1$ holds for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{\pm 1\}$, then in \mathcal{C}_{n+1} $(x, 0)(x, 0) = (xx, 0) = (-1, 0)$ and $(x, 1)(x, 1) = (-x^*x, 0) = (xx, 0) = (-1, 0)$.

3. Follows from 1. and 2. $x^*x = (-x)x = -(xx) = 1 = -(xx) = x(-x) = xx^*$ when $x \neq \pm 1$ and $(\pm 1)^2 = 1$.

4. By definition.

5. $\mathcal{C}_k \cong \{(x, 0) \mid (x, 0) \in \mathcal{C}_{k+1}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Definition 16. A loop L is an inverse property loop if for every $x \in L$ there is $x^{-1} \in L$ such that $x^{-1}(xy) = y = (yx)x^{-1}$ for every $y \in L$.

Corollary 17. Cayley-Dickson loop is an inverse property loop.

Proof. $x^{-1} = x^*$ by Proposition 15. $x^*(xy) = (x^*x)y = y = y(xx^*) = (yx)x^*$ by Corollary 7. □

Definition 18. Let L be a loop. For any $x, y \in L$ define commutator $[x, y]$ by $xy = (yx)[x, y]$.

Definition 19. Let L be a loop. For any $x, y, z \in L$ define associator $[x, y, z]$ by $xy \cdot z = (x \cdot yz)[x, y, z]$.

Theorem 20. (Moufang [7]) Let (M, \cdot) be a Moufang loop. If $[x, y, z] = 1$ for some $x, y, z \in M$, then x, y, z generate a group in (M, \cdot) .

Lemma 21. Let x, y, z be elements of \mathcal{C}_n .

1. Commutator $[x, y] = -1$ when $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$ and $[x, y] = 1$ when $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$.
2. Associator $[x, y, z] = 1$ or $[x, y, z] = -1$. In particular, $[x, y, z] = 1$ when $\langle x, y, z \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$ and $[x, y, z] = -1$ when $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$.

Proof. 1. By Theorem 5, $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$ when either $x = \pm 1$, or $y = \pm 1$, or both, or $x = \pm y$, moreover, $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$ implies that $\langle x, y \rangle \leq \mathbb{C}_4$. The complex group \mathbb{C}_4 is abelian, hence $[x, y] = 1$ when $\langle x, y \rangle < \mathbb{H}_8$. Next, suppose $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$, i.e., $x \neq \pm 1$, $y \neq \pm 1$, $x \neq \pm y$. The quaternion group \mathbb{H}_8 is not abelian, therefore $[x, y] = -1$.

2. By induction on n . Holds on elements of \mathbb{R}_2 . Suppose $[x, y, z] = 1$ or $[x, y, z] = -1 \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Then in \mathcal{C}_{n+1} , $(x, x_{n+1})(y, y_{n+1}) \cdot (z, z_{n+1}) = (f(x, y, z), (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1} + z_{n+1}) \bmod 2)$, where $x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, z_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $f(x, y, z)$ is some product of x, y, z, x^*, y^*, z^* and possibly -1 . Recall that $x^* = x$ or $x^* = -x$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$, therefore $f(x, y, z)$ is in fact the product of x, y, z , each occurring exactly once, and possibly -1 . Similarly, $(x, x_{n+1}) \cdot (y, y_{n+1})(z, z_{n+1}) = (g(x, y, z), (x_{n+1} + y_{n+1} + z_{n+1}) \bmod 2)$, where $g(x, y, z)$ is some product of x, y, z , each occurring exactly once, and possibly -1 . In other words, $f(x, y, z)$ and $g(x, y, z)$ only differ by a sign, which shows that either

$$[(x, x_{n+1}), (y, y_{n+1}), (z, z_{n+1})] = 1 \text{ or } [(x, x_{n+1}), (y, y_{n+1}), (z, z_{n+1})] = -1.$$

Finally, \mathbb{H}_8 is associative, therefore $[x, y, z] = 1$ when $\langle x, y, z \rangle \leq \mathbb{H}_8$.

\mathbb{O}_{16} is a Moufang loop and not a group, therefore by Moufang's Theorem $[x, y, z] = -1$ when $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$. \square

Remark 22. A group $\mathcal{C}_n/\{1, -1\}$ is abelian and isomorphic to (multiplicative) $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, where \mathbb{Z}_2 is a cyclic group of order 2.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 14 and construction (4). \square

Lemma 23. Let B be a subloop of \mathcal{C}_n .

1. If $B \neq 1$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus B$, then $|\langle B, x \rangle| = 2|B|$.
2. If $B = 1$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus B$, then $\langle B, x \rangle = \{1, -1, x, -x\}$.
3. Any n elements of a Cayley-Dickson loop generate a subloop of size 2^k , $k \leq n + 1$.
4. The size of B is 2^m for some $m \leq n$.

Proof. 1. Let $1 \neq B \leq \mathcal{C}_n$ and $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus B$. By Lemma 14, $Z(\mathcal{C}_n) \leq B$ and $Z(\mathcal{C}_n) \leq \langle B, x \rangle$, then $B/Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and $\langle B, x \rangle/Z(\mathcal{C}_n)$ are subgroups of $\mathcal{C}_n/Z(\mathcal{C}_n) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$. It follows that $|\langle B, x \rangle/Z(\mathcal{C}_n)| = 2|B/Z(\mathcal{C}_n)|$ because we work in the vector space $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ and we added another vector.

2. Let $B = 1$. If $x \neq -1$ then $x^2 = -1$ by Proposition 15 and $\langle B, x \rangle = \langle x \rangle = \{1, -1, x, -x\}$. Also, $\langle B, -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}$.
3. By induction on n . The size of $\langle x \rangle$ is 1, 2 or 4. Suppose n elements of a Cayley-Dickson loop generate a subloop B of size 2^k for some $k \leq n + 1$. Add an element x to B . If $x \in B$, then $|\langle B, x \rangle| = |B| = 2^k$, $k \leq n + 1 \leq n + 2$. If $x \notin B$, then $|\langle B, x \rangle| = 2|B| = 2^{k+1}$, $k + 1 \leq n + 2$, by 1.
4. Follows from 3. \square

3 Cayley-Dickson loops are Hamiltonian

Definition 24. A Hamiltonian loop is a loop in which every subloop is normal.

Theorem 25. Cayley-Dickson loop \mathcal{C}_n is Hamiltonian $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let S be a subloop of \mathcal{C}_n , $s \in S$, $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Using Lemma 21 and Lemma 14,

$$\begin{aligned} xs &= [x, s]sx \in \{sx, -sx\} \subseteq Sx, \\ (xs)y &= [x, s, y]x(sy) \in \{x(sy), -x(sy)\} \subseteq x(Sy), \\ x(ys) &= [x, y, s](xy)s \in \{(xy)s, -(xy)s\} \subseteq (xy)S. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Norton [9] formulated a number of theorems characterizing diassociative Hamiltonian loops.

Theorem 26. (Norton) *A Hamiltonian di-associative loop L is either an abelian group, or the direct product of an abelian group with elements of odd order and a loop H with the following properties:*

1. *the commutant of H consists of the elements of order 1 or 2,*
2. *if x, y, z, \dots are elements not in the commutant, then $x^2 = y^2 = z^2 = \dots \neq 1$, $x^4 = y^4 = z^4 = \dots = 1$,*
3. *if x, y do not commute, then $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a quaternion group (since H is assumed not abelian, there exists at least one such pair of elements). If x, y commute, then $x = c_1 y$ where c_1 is an element of the commutant.*
4. *if x, y do not commute and if c_2 is an element of H which commutes with every element of $\langle x, y \rangle$, then c_2 is an element of the commutant.*

Theorem 27. (Norton) *If A is an abelian group with elements of odd order, T is an abelian group with exponent 2, and K is a di-associative loop such that*

1. *elements of K have order 1, 2 or 4,*
2. *there exist elements x, y in K such that $\langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$,*
3. *every element of K of order 2 is in the center,*
4. *if $x, y, z \in K$ are of order 4, then $x^2 = y^2 = z^2$,
 $xy = d \cdot yx$ where $d = 1$ or $d = x^2$,
and $xy \cdot z = h(x \cdot yz)$ where $h = 1$ or $h = x^2$,*

then their direct product $A \times T \times K$ is a di-associative Hamiltonian loop.

It is showed computationally in [2] that \mathbb{T}_{64} is Hamiltonian. Theorem 27 with $A = T = 1$ can alternatively be used to establish the result for all Cayley-Dickson loops. It is, in fact, used in [9] to show that the octonion loop is Hamiltonian, however, at that time the author did not study the generalized Cayley-Dickson loops.

4 Automorphism groups of Cayley-Dickson loops

Definition 28. *Let L be a loop. A map $\phi : L \mapsto L$ is an automorphism if it is a bijective homomorphism.*

Definition 29. *The set of all automorphisms of a loop L forms a group under composition, called the automorphism group and denoted by $\text{Aut}(L)$.*

Definition 30. Define the orbit of a set X under the action of a group G by $O_G(X) = \{gx \mid g \in G, x \in X\}$.

Definition 31. Define the (pointwise) stabilizer of a set X in G by $G_X = \{g \in G \mid gx = x, x \in X\}$.

Remark 32. Recall that $|O_G(X)| = |G : G_X|$.

We use Remark 32 to find an upper bound on the size of $Aut(\mathbb{C}_4)$ and $Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)$. Let's first consider $G = Aut(\mathbb{C}_4)$. Any automorphism on G fixes 1 and -1 , therefore it is only possible for an automorphism to map $i_1 \mapsto i_1$ (e.g., the identity map), and $i_1 \mapsto -i_1$ (e.g., conjugation). The size of the orbit $O_G(i_1)$ is therefore 2. Notice that $G_{\{i_1\}} = G_{\mathbb{C}_4}$, since \mathbb{C}_4 is generated by i_1 . It follows that

$$|G| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1\}}| = |O_G(i_1)| = 2.$$

Next, let $G = Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)$. Again, 1 and -1 are fixed by any automorphism and are not in $O_G(i_1)$, therefore the size of $|O_G(i_1)|$ can be at most $|\mathbb{H}_8| - 2 = 6$. When i_1 is stabilized, $|G_{\{i_1\}}| = |O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1, i_2\}}|$, moreover, $G_{\{i_1, i_2\}} = G_{\mathbb{H}_8}$, since \mathbb{H}_8 is generated by $\{i_1, i_2\}$. The orbit $O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)$ can have the size at most $|\mathbb{H}_8| - 4 = 4$, because the set $\{1, -1, i_1, -i_1\}$ is fixed. We have

$$|G| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1\}}| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)| \cdot |G_{\{i_1, i_2\}}| = |O_G(i_1)| \cdot |O_{G_{\{i_1\}}}(i_2)| \leq 6 \cdot 4 = 24. \quad (12)$$

It has been shown, in fact, (see, e.g., [10]), that $Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_4 of size 24. It has been studied in [6] that $Aut(\mathbb{O}_{16})$ has size 1344 and is a non-split extension of the elementary abelian group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ of order 8 by the simple group $PSL_2(7)$ of order 168. One can use the approach similar to (12) to see what $Aut(\mathbb{O}_{16})$ looks like. We also calculated the automorphism groups of \mathbb{S}_{32} and \mathbb{T}_{64} using LOOPS package for GAP [8]. Summarizing, the sizes of the automorphism groups of the first five Cayley-Dickson loops are

$$\begin{aligned} |Aut(\mathbb{C}_4)| &= 2, \\ |Aut(\mathbb{H}_8)| &= 24 = 6 \cdot 4, \\ |Aut(\mathbb{O}_{16})| &= 1344 = 14 \cdot 12 \cdot 8, \\ |Aut(\mathbb{S}_{32})| &= 2688 = 2 \cdot (14 \cdot 12 \cdot 8), \\ |Aut(\mathbb{T}_{64})| &= 5376 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot (14 \cdot 12 \cdot 8). \end{aligned}$$

One may notice that the automorphism groups of \mathbb{C}_4 , \mathbb{H}_8 and \mathbb{O}_{16} are as big as they possibly can be, subject to the obvious structural restrictions in $\mathbb{C}_4, \mathbb{H}_8, \mathbb{O}_{16}$, only fixing $\{1, -1\}$. On the contrary, the automorphism groups of \mathbb{S}_{32} and \mathbb{T}_{64} are only double the size of the preceding ones. Theorem 33 below explains such behavior. We denote $e = (1, 1) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and use it further in the text.

Theorem 33. If $\phi : \mathcal{C}_n \mapsto \mathcal{C}_n$ is an automorphism and $\psi = \phi \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}$, where $n \geq 4$, then

1. $\phi(1) = 1, \phi(-1) = -1$,
2. $\phi(e) = e$ or $\phi(e) = -e$,
3. $\psi \in Aut(\mathcal{C}_{n-1})$,
4. $\phi((x, 1)) = \psi(x)\phi(e), \forall x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$.

We establish several auxiliary results and use them to prove Theorem 33 at the end of the chapter. The following lemma shows that all subloops of \mathcal{C}_n of size 16 fall into two isomorphism classes. In particular, any such subloop is either isomorphic to \mathbb{O}_{16} , the octonion loop, or $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$, the quasi-octonion loop, described in [1, 3]. The octonion loop is Moufang, however, the quasi-octonion loop is not. We take $\langle i_1, i_2, i_4 \rangle = \pm\{1, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_7\}$ as a canonical octonion loop, and $\langle i_1, i_2, i_{12} \rangle = \pm\{1, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_{12}, i_{13}, i_{14}, i_{15}\}$ as a canonical quasi-octonion loop. We use LOOPS package for GAP [8] in Lemma 34 and further in the text to establish the isomorphisms between the loops we construct, and either \mathbb{O}_{16} or $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$.

Lemma 34. *If x, y, z are elements of \mathcal{C}_n such that $|\langle x, y, z \rangle| = 16$, then either*

$$\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16} \text{ or } \langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}.$$

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}_n$. We want to construct a loop $\langle x, y, z \rangle = \pm\{1, x, y, xy, z, xz, yz, (xy)z\}$. Fix the associators $[x, y, z]$, $[x, z, y]$, and $[x, y, xz]$. Using di-associativity and Lemma 21.1,

$$x((xy)z) = [x, y, z]x(x(yz)) = [x, y, z](xx)(yz) = -[x, y, z]yz, \quad (13)$$

$$y(xz) = -(xz)y = -[x, z, y]x(zy) = [x, z, y]x(yz) = [x, y, z][x, z, y](xy)z, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y((xy)z) &= -((xy)z)y = -[x, y, z](x(yz))y = [x, y, z](x(zy))y \\ &= [x, y, z][x, z, y]((xz)y)y = [x, y, z][x, z, y](xz)(yy) \\ &= -[x, y, z][x, z, y](xz), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (xz)((xy)z) &= -((xy)z)(xz) = -[x, y, z](x(yz))(xz) = [x, y, z](x(zy))(xz) \\ &= [x, y, z][x, z, y]((xz)y)(xz) = -[x, y, z][x, z, y](y(xz))(xz) \\ &= -[x, y, z][x, z, y]y((xz)(xz)) = [x, y, z][x, z, y]y, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (yz)((xy)z) &= [x, y, z](yz)(x(yz)) = -[x, y, z](x(yz))(yz) \\ &= -[x, y, z]x((yz)(yz)) = [x, y, z]x, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)(xz) &= [x, y, xz]x(y(xz)) = -[x, y, xz]x((xz)y) = -[x, z, y][x, y, xz]x(x(zy)) \\ &= -[x, z, y][x, y, xz](xx)(zy) = [x, z, y][x, y, xz](zy) \\ &= -[x, z, y][x, y, xz](yz). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Multiplying (18) by (xy) on the left,

$$(xy)(yz) = [x, z, y][x, y, xz]xz. \quad (19)$$

Multiplying (18) by (xz) on the right,

$$(yz)(xz) = [x, z, y][x, y, xz]xy. \quad (20)$$

Equalities (13)-(20) together with some trivial calculations result in Table 1, i.e., it is sufficient to fix $[x, y, z]$, $[x, z, y]$ and $[x, y, xz]$ in order to uniquely define $\langle x, y, z \rangle$. We need to consider the following cases:

If $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = -1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ by $\{x, y, z\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_4\}$.

If $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = -1$, $[x, y, xz] = 1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ by $\{xz, yz, z\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_{12}\}$.

If $[x, y, z] = [x, y, xz] = -1$, $[x, z, y] = 1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$ by $\{x, z, y\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_{12}\}$.

1	x	y	xy	z	xz	yz	(xy)z
x	-1	xy	-y	xz	-z	$[x,y,z](xy)z$	$-[x,y,z]yz$
y	-xy	-1	x	yz	$[x,y,z][x,z,y](xy)z$	-z	$-[x,y,z][x,z,y]xz$
xy	y	-x	-1	$(xy)z$	$-[x,z,y][x,y,xz]yz$	$[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xz$	-z
z	-xz	-yz	$-(xy)z$	-1	x	y	xy
xz	z	$-[x,y,z][x,z,y](xy)z$	$[x,z,y][x,y,xz]yz$	-x	-1	$-[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xy$	$[x,y,z][x,z,y]y$
yz	$-[x,y,z](xy)z$	z	$-[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xz$	-y	$[x,z,y][x,y,xz]xy$	-1	$[x,y,z]x$
$(xy)z$	$[x,y,z]yz$	$[x,y,z][x,z,y]xz$	z	-xy	$-[x,y,z][x,z,y]y$	$-[x,y,z]x$	-1

Table 1: Multiplication table of $\langle x, y, z \rangle$

If $[x, y, z] = -1$, $[x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = 1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$ by $\{y, -xz, x\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_{12}\}$.

If $[x, y, z] = 1$, $[x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = -1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$ by $\{-xy, z, x\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_{12}\}$.

If $[x, y, z] = [x, y, xz] = 1$, $[x, z, y] = -1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$ by $\{x, y, z\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_{12}\}$.

If $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = 1$, $[x, y, xz] = -1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$ by $\{y, z, x\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_{12}\}$.

If $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = [x, y, xz] = 1$, then $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{16}$ by $\{x, -yz, y\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_{12}\}$. \square

Next, we study the associators in \mathcal{C}_n . We use the result to prove Lemmas 36 and 37.

Remark 35. Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$, then in \mathcal{C}_n

(a) $[(x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1)] = [x, y][z, y, x]$,

(b) $[(x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 0)] = [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x]$,

(c) $[(x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]$,

(d) $[(x, 1), (y, 0), (z, 0)] = [y, z][x, y, z]$,

(e) $[(x, 1), (y, 0), (z, 1)] = [y, x][y, z][z, y, x]$,

(f) $[(x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 0)] = [z, x][z, y][y, x, z][y, z, x]$,

(g) $[(x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][y, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]$.

Proof. (a) $(x, 0)(y, 0) \cdot (z, 1) = (xy, 0)(z, 1) = (z \cdot xy, 1) = [x, y](z \cdot yx, 1)$
 $= [x, y][z, y, x](zy \cdot x, 1) = [x, y][z, y, x]((x, 0)(zy, 1)) = [x, y][z, y, x]((x, 0) \cdot (y, 0)(z, 1)).$

(b) $(x, 0)(y, 1) \cdot (z, 0) = (yx, 1)(z, 0) = (yx \cdot z^*, 1) = [y, x, z](y \cdot xz^*, 1) = [x, z][y, x, z](y \cdot z^*x, 1)$
 $= [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x](yz^* \cdot x, 1) = [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 0)(yz^*, 1))$
 $= [x, z][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 0) \cdot (y, 1)(z, 0)).$

(c) $(x, 0)(y, 1) \cdot (z, 1) = (yx, 1)(z, 1) = (-z^* \cdot yx, 0) = [x, y](-z^* \cdot xy, 0)$
 $= [x, y][z, x, y](-z^*x \cdot y, 0) = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y](x(-z^*) \cdot y, 0)$
 $= [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y](x \cdot (-z^*)y, 0) = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 0) \cdot (-z^*y, 0))$
 $= [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 0) \cdot (y, 1)(z, 1)).$

(d) $(x, 1)(y, 0) \cdot (z, 0) = (xy^*, 1)(z, 0) = (xy^* \cdot z^*, 1) = [x, y, z](x \cdot y^*z^*, 1)$
 $= [x, y, z]((x, 1)((y^*z^*)^*, 0)) = [x, y, z]((x, 1)(zy, 0)) = [y, z][x, y, z]((x, 1)(yz, 0))$
 $= [y, z][x, y, z]((x, 1) \cdot (y, 0)(z, 0)).$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(e)} \quad & (x, 1)(y, 0) \cdot (z, 1) = (xy^*, 1)(z, 1) = (-z^* \cdot xy^*, 0) = [y, x](-z^* \cdot y^*x, 0) \\
& = [y, x][z, y, x](-z^*y^* \cdot x, 0) = [y, x][z, y, x]((x, 1)(-(-z^*y^*)^*, 1)) \\
& = [y, x][z, y, x]((x, 1)(yz, 1)) = [y, x][y, z][z, y, x]((x, 1)(zy, 1)) \\
& = [y, x][y, z][z, y, x]((x, 1) \cdot (y, 0)(z, 1)). \\
\text{(f)} \quad & (x, 1)(y, 1) \cdot (z, 0) = (-y^*x, 0)(z, 0) = (-y^*x \cdot z, 0) = [y, x, z](-y^* \cdot xz, 0) \\
& = [z, x][y, x, z](-y^* \cdot zx, 0) = [z, x][y, x, z][y, z, x](-y^*z \cdot x, 0) \\
& = [z, x][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 1)(-(-y^*z)^*, 1)) = [z, x][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 1)(z^*y, 1)) \\
& = [z, x][z, y][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 1)(yz^*, 1)) = [z, x][z, y][y, x, z][y, z, x]((x, 1) \cdot (y, 1)(z, 0)). \\
\text{(g)} \quad & (x, 1)(y, 1) \cdot (z, 1) = (-y^*x, 0)(z, 1) = (z \cdot (-y^*)x, 1) = [x, y](z \cdot x(-y^*), 1) \\
& = [x, y][z, x, y](zx \cdot (-y^*), 1) = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y](xz \cdot (-y^*), 1) \\
& = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y](x \cdot z(-y^*), 1) = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 1)((z(-y^*))^*, 0)) \\
& = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 1)(-yz^*, 0)) = [x, y][x, z][y, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 1)(-z^*y, 0)) \\
& = [x, y][x, z][y, z][z, x, y][x, z, y]((x, 1) \cdot (y, 1)(z, 1)). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 36 shows that $e \in \mathcal{C}_n$ is special; if we consider a subloop $\langle x, y, e \rangle$ of \mathcal{C}_n such that $|\langle x, y, e \rangle| = 16$, then $\langle x, y, e \rangle$ is always a copy of the octonion loop \mathbb{O}_{16} . Lemma 41 shows that this, however, is not the case for any element of $\mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{\pm e\}$. Therefore, an automorphism on \mathcal{C}_n cannot map e to an element $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{\pm e\}$. Also, we use Lemma 40 to show that an element $(x, 0)$ of \mathcal{C}_n is contained in more copies of \mathcal{C}_{n-1} than an element $(y, 1)$, and hence an automorphism on \mathcal{C}_n cannot map $(x, 0)$ to $(y, 1)$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$.

Lemma 36. $\langle x, y, e \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_n$ such that $e \notin \langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$.

Proof. Let x, y be elements of \mathcal{C}_n such that $e \notin \langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$. As follows from the proof of Lemma 34, in order to prove that $\langle x, y, e \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$, it is sufficient to show that

$$[x, y, e] = [x, e, y] = [x, y, xe] = -1. \quad (21)$$

Let \bar{x}, \bar{y} be elements of \mathcal{C}_{n-1} . We use Remark 35, and consider the following cases:

If $x = (\bar{x}, 0), y = (\bar{y}, 0)$, then $xe = (\bar{x}, 0)(1, 1) = (\bar{x}, 1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 0), (1, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 0)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 0), (\bar{x}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

If $x = (\bar{x}, 0), y = (\bar{y}, 1)$, then $xe = (\bar{x}, 0)(1, 1) = (\bar{x}, 1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 1), (1, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1, \bar{y}] = -1, \\
[x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{y}, \bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 1] = -1, \\
[x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 0), (\bar{y}, 1), (\bar{x}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, \bar{x}][\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, \bar{x}, \bar{y}] = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

If $x = (\bar{x}, 1), y = (\bar{y}, 0)$, then $xe = (\bar{x}, 1)(1, 1) = (-\bar{x}, 0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 0), (1, 1)] = [\bar{y}, \bar{x}][\bar{y}, 1][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 0)] = [\bar{y}, \bar{x}][\bar{y}, 1][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}, \bar{x}] = -1, \\
[x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 0), (-\bar{x}, 0)] = [\bar{y}, -\bar{x}][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, -\bar{x}] = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

If $x = (\bar{x}, 1), y = (\bar{y}, 1)$, then $xe = (\bar{x}, 1)(1, 1) = (-\bar{x}, 0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y, e] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 1), (1, 1)] = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1][\bar{y}, 1][1, \bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{x}, 1, \bar{y}] = -1, \\ [x, e, y] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (1, 1), (\bar{y}, 1)] = [\bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}][1, \bar{y}][\bar{y}, \bar{x}, 1][\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 1] = -1, \\ [x, y, xe] &= [(\bar{x}, 1), (\bar{y}, 1), (-\bar{x}, 0)] = [-\bar{x}, \bar{x}][-\bar{x}, \bar{y}][\bar{y}, \bar{x}, -\bar{x}][\bar{y}, -\bar{x}, \bar{x}] = -1. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $[x, y, e] = [x, e, y] = [x, y, xe] = -1$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_n$ such that $e \notin \langle x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{H}_8$. By Lemma 34, $\langle x, y, e \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ by $\{x, y, e\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_4\}$. \square

The following lemma helps to distinguish between some copies of \mathbb{O}_{16} and $\tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$, and is used to prove Lemmas 40 and 41.

Lemma 37. *Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$, $n \geq 4$ be such that $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$. Then in \mathcal{C}_n*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 0) \rangle &\cong \langle (x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}, \\ \langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle &\cong \langle (x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ be such that $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$. By Lemma 21, $[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = [y, x, z] = -1$, and $[x, y] = [y, z] = [x, z] = -1$. Using Remark 35,

$$[(x, 0), (z, 1), (y, 0)] = [x, y][z, x, y][z, y, x] = -1 \quad (22)$$

shows that $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle > \mathbb{H}_8$ and hence $|\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle| = 16$, while

$$[(x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1)] = [x, y][z, y, x] = 1 \quad (23)$$

shows that $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle$ is not Moufang and therefore $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$. Similarly, using Remark 35,

$$[(y, 1), (x, 0), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y] = -1, \quad (24)$$

$$[(x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1)] = [x, y][x, z][z, x, y][x, z, y] = 1 \quad (25)$$

shows that $\langle (x, 0), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$.

A loop $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 0) \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ as a copy of $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ in \mathcal{C}_n .

A loop $\langle (x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ by $\{(x, 1), (y, 1), (z, 1)\} \mapsto \{i_1, i_2, i_4\}$. \square

Definition 38. *Let B be a subloop of \mathcal{C}_n of index 2 and D be a subloop of \mathcal{C}_{n-1} of index 2. We call B a subloop of the first type when $B = \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$, a subloop of the second type when $B = D \oplus De$, a subloop of the third type when $B = D \oplus (\mathcal{C}_{n-1} \setminus D)e$.*

Figure 1 illustrates all the subloops of index 2 of the sedenion loop \mathbb{S}_{32} , each of these subloops being of one of three types. The following lemma shows that this is the case for all Cayley-Dickson loops.

Lemma 39. *If B is a subloop of \mathcal{C}_n of index 2, then B is a subloop of either the first, or the second, or the third type.*

2. By Lemma 39, $B = D \oplus (\mathcal{C}_{n-1} \setminus D)e$ for some subloop D of \mathcal{C}_{n-1} of index 2. Without loss of generality, suppose $x \in D$. By 1 there exist $y, z \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ such that $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$, $\{x, y, z\} \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $\{x, y, z\} \cap (\mathcal{C}_{n-1} \setminus D) \neq \emptyset$. Again, without loss of generality, suppose $y \in D$ and $z \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \setminus D$, therefore $(x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \in B$. Using (22), (23), $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$.
3. By Lemma 36, there is an element $e \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$, $|\langle e, x, y \rangle| = 16$ implies that $\langle e, x, y \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$. However, by 2, B doesn't contain such an element. \square

Lemma 41. *Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm e\}$, $n \geq 4$. There exist $y, z \in \mathcal{C}_n$ such that $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose $x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$. By Lemma 40 part 1, there exist $y, z \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ such that $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$. Using (22), (23), $\langle (x, 0), (y, 0), (z, 1) \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$. \square

On \mathcal{C}_n , define maps

$$(id, -id) : (x, x_{n+1}) \mapsto ((-1)^{x_{n+1}}x, x_{n+1}), \quad (26)$$

$$(id, id) : (x, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x, x_{n+1}), \quad (27)$$

where $x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ and $x_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\}$. The map (id, id) is an identity; the map $\phi = (id, -id)$ is an automorphism because

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((x, 0)(y, 0)) &= \phi((xy, 0)) = (xy, 0) = (x, 0)(y, 0) = \phi((x, 0))\phi((y, 0)), \\ \phi((x, 0)(y, 1)) &= \phi((yx, 1)) = (-yx, 1) = (x, 0)(-y, 1) = \phi((x, 0))\phi((y, 1)), \\ \phi((x, 1)(y, 0)) &= \phi((xy^*, 1)) = (-xy^*, 1) = (-x, 1)(y, 0) = \phi((x, 1))\phi((y, 0)), \\ \phi((x, 1)(y, 1)) &= \phi((-y^*x, 0)) = (-y^*x, 0) = (-x, 1)(-y, 1) = \phi((x, 1))\phi((y, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (of Theorem 33) Let $\phi : \mathcal{C}_n \mapsto \mathcal{C}_n$, $n \geq 4$, be an automorphism.

1. By Proposition 15, $\phi(1) = 1$, $\phi(-1) = -1$.
2. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_n \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm e\}$. By Lemma 41, there exist $y, z \in \mathcal{C}_n$ such that $\langle x, y, z \rangle \cong \tilde{\mathbb{O}}_{16}$, however, by Lemma 36, $\langle e, y, z \rangle \cong \mathbb{O}_{16}$ for any $y, z \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Therefore it is only possible that $\phi(e) = e$, which holds when ϕ is an identity map, or $\phi(e) = -e$, which holds when $\phi = (id, -id)$.
3. Consider the subloops of \mathcal{C}_n of index 2. By Lemma 40, any such subloop of the third type is not isomorphic to \mathcal{C}_{n-1} . A subloop of the first type (there is only one such subloop) is a copy of \mathcal{C}_{n-1} in \mathcal{C}_n of the form $\{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}\}$. Therefore any element $(x, 0)$ is contained in at least one more copy of \mathcal{C}_{n-1} compared to an element $(y, 1)$. This shows that for every $x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$, $\phi((x, 0)) = (y, 0)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ and hence $\psi \in Aut(\mathcal{C}_{n-1})$.
4. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$. Using multiplication formula (5), $xe = (x, 0)(1, 1) = (x, 1)$. If ϕ is an automorphism on \mathcal{C}_n , then $\phi((x, 1)) = \phi((x, 0)(1, 1)) = \phi((x, 0))\phi((1, 1)) = \psi(x)\phi(e)$. \square

Proposition 42. ([4]) *A group G is a direct product of groups H and K , denoted by $G = H \times K$, iff*

1. H and K are normal subgroups of G ,
2. $G = HK$,

3. $H \cap K = id$, the trivial subgroup of G .

Finally, we show that, starting at \mathbb{S}_{32} , $Aut(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is a direct product of $Aut(\mathcal{C}_{n-1})$ and a cyclic group of order 2.

Theorem 43. $Aut(\mathcal{C}_n) \cong Aut(\mathcal{C}_{n-1}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where \mathbb{Z}_2 is a cyclic group of order 2, $n \geq 4$.

Proof. Let $G = Aut(\mathcal{C}_n)$, $K = Aut(\mathcal{C}_{n-1})$, $H = \{(id, id), (id, -id)\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $n \geq 4$.

1. A group K is normal in G because $[G : K] = 2$.

2. Next, show that H is normal in G . Let $g \in G$, $h \in H$. Notice that $g^{-1}hg \in H$ iff $g^{-1}hg \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}} = id_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$, $g = kh_0$, where $k \in K$, $h_0 \in H$.

$$g^{-1}hg(x) = h_0^{-1}k^{-1}hk \underbrace{h_0(x)}_x = h_0^{-1}k^{-1} \underbrace{hk(x)}_{k(x) \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}} = h_0^{-1} \underbrace{k^{-1}k(x)}_x = h_0^{-1}(x) = x,$$

therefore $g^{-1}hg \in H$.

3. Both K and H are normal subgroups of G , therefore $KH \leq G$. Also, $|KH| \geq 2|K| = |G|$, hence $KH = G$.

4. Obviously, $(id, -id) \notin K$ and $H \cap K = id$. □

Acknowledgements We thank Petr Vojtěchovský for numerous discussions and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. E. Cawagas. On the structure and zero divisors of the Cayley-Dickson sedenion algebra. *Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl.*, 24:251–265, 2004.
- [2] R. E. Cawagas, A. S. Carrascal, L. A. Bautista, J. P. Sta. Maria, J. D. Urrutia, and B. Nobles. The subalgebra structure of the Cayley-Dickson algebra of dimension 32. arXiv:0907.2047v3.
- [3] C. Culbert. Cayley-Dickson algebras and loops. *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.*, 1(1):1–17, 2007.
- [4] I. N. Herstein. *Abstract Algebra*. Prentice-Hall, 3rd edition, 1995.
- [5] A. Hurwitz. Ueber die Composition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variabeln (on the composition of quadratic forms of arbitrary many variables) (in German). *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen*, pages 309–316, 1898.
- [6] M. Koca and R. Koç. Octonions and the group of order 1344. *Tr. J. of Phys.*, 19:304–319, 1995.
- [7] R. Moufang. Zur Struktur von Alternativkörpern (in German). *Math. Ann.*, 110:416–430, 1935.
- [8] G. P. Nagy and P. Vojtěchovský. LOOPS, Package for GAP 4. Available at <http://www.math.du.edu/loops>.
- [9] D. A. Norton. Hamiltonian loops. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3:56–65, 1952.
- [10] H. J. Zassenhaus. *The theory of groups*. Dover, 2nd edition, 1999.