

# Perfect tree forcings for singular cardinals

Natasha Dobrinen  
University of Denver

Generalised Baire Spaces  
KNAW Academy, Amsterdam, August 22 - 24, 2018

Joint work with Daniel Hathaway and Karel Prikry

## Motivation: Distributive Laws in Boolean Algebras, 1960's

A forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $(\lambda, \kappa)$ -distributive if  $\mathbb{P}$  adds no new functions from  $\lambda$  into  $\kappa$ .

**Motivating Question (Solovay 1960's):** Which cardinals  $\kappa$  can be first failures of  $(\omega, \kappa)$ -distributivity in some forcing?

Work on this and other distributivity problems appeared in

[Prikrý 67] *On models constructed using perfect sets.* (unpublished)

[Namba 71] *Independence proof of  $(\omega, \omega_\alpha)$ -distributive laws in complete Boolean algebras.*

[Namba 72]  *$(\omega_1, 2)$ -distributive law and perfect sets in generalized Baire space.*

[Bukovský 76] *Changing cofinality of  $\aleph_2$ .* (69 unpublished)

[D-Hathaway-Prikrý] includes [Prikrý 67] and proves some further properties about his tree forcings.

**Related Question (Vopěnka 1966):** Can one change the cofinality of  $\aleph_2$  to  $\aleph_0$  without collapsing  $\aleph_1$ ?

Results on either of the two questions have implications for the other.

Other related work includes

[Prikrý 68] *Changing measurable into accessible cardinals.*

[Bukovský-Copláková 90] *Minimal collapsing extensions of models of ZFC.*

## Full Answer to Solovay's Question

**Theorem.** Assume  $(\forall \mu < \kappa) \mu^\omega < \kappa$ , and either  $\kappa$  is regular or  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$ . Then there is a forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  which adds a new  $\omega$  sequence of ordinals in  $\kappa$ , but no new bounded  $\omega$ -sequences in  $\kappa$ .

$\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega$  due to Prikry (1967), and  $\kappa$  regular due to Namba (1971).

The cardinal arithmetic assumption is necessary.

My interest stemmed from [co-stationarity of the ground model](#):

**Thm.** (D. 08) Forcing a new  $\omega$ -sequence into  $\kappa$  over  $L$  makes  $(\mathcal{P}_\mu(\lambda))^{L[G]} \setminus L$  stationary in  $(\mathcal{P}_\mu(\lambda))^{L[G]}$ , for all cardinals  $\mu < \lambda$  in  $L[G]$  with  $\lambda \geq \kappa$  and  $\mu$  regular.

# The perfect tree forcing of Prikry

Fix an increasing sequence  $\langle \kappa_n : n < \omega \rangle$  of regular cardinals and define

$$\mathcal{X} = \prod_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$$

Give  $\mathcal{X}$  the product topology.

(A space of Stone mentioned by Motto Ros yesterday.)

Let  $\kappa = \sup_{n < \omega} \kappa_n$ . A subset  $P \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  is **perfect** if it is closed, and given any point  $f \in P$ , every neighborhood of  $f$  in  $P$  has size  $\kappa^\omega$ .

A **perfect tree** is the tree induced by some perfect set.

$\mathbb{P}$  is the set of all perfect subtrees of  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ , partially ordered by inclusion.

## Strong Splitting Normal Form

A perfect tree  $T \in \mathbb{P}$  is in **strong splitting normal form** if there is a strictly increasing sequence  $\langle l_n : n < \omega \rangle$  of levels such that all nodes in  $T$  of length  $l_n$  have  $\kappa_n$  immediate successors, and all nodes of other lengths do not split.

The set of all perfect trees in strong splitting normal form is dense in  $\mathbb{P}$ .

This and other results use singularity and a Ramsey-style lemma on Laver-like trees on

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \bigcup_{m < \omega} \prod_{n \leq m} \kappa_n.$$

## 3-Parameter Distributivity - stratified covering properties

A forcing  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $(\lambda, \kappa, < \mu)$ -distributive if for each  $g : \lambda \rightarrow \kappa$  in  $V^{\mathbb{Q}}$ , there is a function  $f : \lambda \rightarrow [\kappa]^{< \mu}$  in  $V$  such that  $(\forall \alpha < \lambda) g(\alpha) \in f(\alpha)$ .

**Thm.** (DHP) In the perfect tree forcing of Prikry,

- (1)  $(\omega, \kappa, < \mu)$ -distributivity fails for all  $\mu < \kappa$ ; but
- (2)  $(\omega, \infty, < \kappa)$ -distributivity holds; and
- (3)  $(\mathfrak{d}, \infty, < \kappa)$ -distributivity fails.

(1) is straightforward.

(2) follows from a Sacks-like property of  $\mathbb{P}$ .

(3) uses [dominated-by families](#).

## Connections with $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/fin$

The **distributivity number**  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the smallest cardinal  $\nu$  for which  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/fin$  adds a new subset of  $\nu$ ; equivalently,  $(\nu, \infty)$ -distributivity fails.

$$\aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{c}.$$

**Thm.** (DHP)

- (1)  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/fin$  completely embeds into  $\mathbb{P}$ . Hence,
- (2)  $\mathbb{P}$  is not  $(\mathfrak{h}, 2)$ -distributive.
- (3)  $\kappa^\omega$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

(1) uses the base tree matrix method of Balcar-Pelant-Simon (1980), similarly to work of Bukovský-Copláková (1990) for regular cardinals  $\kappa$ .

(3) uses an antichain in  $\mathbb{P}$  of size  $\kappa^\omega$ .



# Minimal degrees of constructibility

A well-studied notion, going back to Sacks forcing.

**Thm.** (DHP) If all  $\kappa_n$  are measurable then  $\mathbb{P}$  adds a [minimal degree of constructibility](#) for new  $\omega$ -sequences:

Given  $T \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $\dot{A}$  such that

$$T \Vdash \dot{A} : \omega \rightarrow \check{V} \text{ and } \dot{A} \notin \check{V},$$

then  $T \Vdash \dot{G} \in \check{V}[\dot{A}]$ .

## Some of the many Open Problems

**Question 1.** What is the optimal requirement on the  $\kappa_n$  for to have a minimal degree of constructibility for new  $\omega$ -sequences?

(see [Brown-Groszek 06])

**Question 2.** What about analogues for singular cardinals of uncountable cofinality?

**Thank you for your kind attention!**